Document 1

Filed 03/10/2008

Page 1 of 9

Case 3:08-cv-00442-L-RBB

- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that defendant Pennsylvania Higher Education Authority ("PHEAA") doing business as American Education Services ("AES") is a corporation incorporated under the laws of Pennsylvania or a business entity organized under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in the State of Pennsylvania, and a Citizen of Pennsylvania.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that defendant Key Bank, N.A. is a corporation incorporated under the laws of Pennsylvania or a business entity organized under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in the State of Pennsylvania, and a Citizen of Pennsylvania.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that defendant Education Credit Management Services ("ECMC") is a nonprofit member of ECMC Group and is a national guaranty agency that insures loans under the Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction to adjudicate this lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the case involves a controversy arising under the laws of the United States.
- 6. Venue in the Southern District of California is proper because the underlying events on which this lawsuit is based occurred in the Southern District of California; to wit, the plaintiff's filing of a Chapter 13 case in the Southern District with the intent to have his student loans forgiven and his fulfillment of a 5-year plan toward that end.
- 7. The PHEAA dba AES, Key Bank, ECMC or their predecessors issued, owned, guaranteed, purchased or serviced 7 federally guaranteed Stafford student loans ("the Student Loans") to plaintiff while he was in law school on the following disbursement dates: August 4, 1993, December 22, 1993, August 19, 1994, September 20, 1995, September 20, 1995, August 28, 1996, and August 28, 1996. Plaintiff graduated law school in 1997 and began making payments

on some or all of the Student Loans in 1998 until receiving a deferment or forebearance in the year 2000.

8. On December 6, 2001, Plaintiff filed a Chapter 13 bankruptcy in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 01-12461-H13. The bankruptcy included only student loan debts, including the Student Loans, and no other debts. A five-year plan was confirmed after notice to all lenders without objection on January 25, 2002. Under the plan, the plaintiff was to pay \$29,197.11 to satisfy the total student loan obligations in the amount of \$104,275.35, with the balance of the amount to be forgiven. The plan was concluded on March 9, 2007. After notice to all lenders without objection, an order discharging the debtor was executed on May 29, 2004.

The student loans issued, owned, guaranteed, purchased or serviced by PHEAA dba AES, Key Bank and ECMC, or their predecessors (collectively hereafter "defendants") were originally claimed and paid in the following amounts in Plaintiff's Chapter 13 bankruptcy:

DISBURSEMENT DATE	AMOUNT OF CLAIM CLAIM IN BANKRUPTCY	AMOUNT PAID IN BANKRUPTCY
08/04/1993	\$9,826.76	\$2,751.49
12/22/1993	\$1,310.17	\$366.85
08/19/1994	\$11,058.38	\$3,096.35
09/20/1995	\$11,058.38	\$3,096.35
09/20/1995	\$15,580.22	\$4,362.46

į	Case 3:08-cv-0044	2-L-RBB Docu	ment 1 Filed 03/1	0/2008 Pa	ge 4 of 9				
1	08/28/1996	\$11,058	.38	\$3,096.3	35				
2									
3	08/28/1996	\$14,561	.74	\$4,077.2	29				
4									
5	Thus, of the total amount claimed to be owed to defendants at the commencement of Plaintiff's								
6	plan of \$74,454.03, Plaintiff agreed to pay, and did pay, \$20,847.14 over a five year period.								
7									
8	10. In a	letter dated July 12	, 2007, defendant PHE	AA dba AES v	wrote the Plaintiff,				
9	stating that the above	ve 7 loans had been	repurchased by defend	ant Key Bank	N.A. in the following				
10	amounts:								
11									
12	<u>LOAN</u>	1ST DISB	<u>PRINCIPAL</u>	<u>LOAN</u>					
13	PROGRAM	<u>DATE</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>	STATU	<u>S</u>				
14	STFFRD	08/04/1993	\$10,144.62	REPAY	MENT				
15				•					
16	STFFRD	12/22/1993	\$1,354.17	REPAY	MENT				
17									
18	STFFRD	08/19/1994	\$11,414.30	REPAY	MENT				
19									
20	STFFRD	09/20/1995	\$11,414.30	REPAY	MENT				
21									
22	UNSTFD	09/20/1995	\$16,080.46	REPAY	MENT				
23		•		25244					
24	STFFRD	08/28/1996	\$11,414.30	REPAY	MENI				
25		00/00/4006	#15 000 PO	DEDAY	NACNIT				
26	UNSTFD	08/28/1996	\$15,028.82	REPAY	IVIENI				
27	TCI 1: 1		ted that I awad \$76 950	.07 an amour	it higher than the				
28	The combined amount of the letter stated that I owed \$76,850.97, an amount higher than the -4- (Complaint)								
	-4- (Compraint)								

\$74,454.03 owed before the commencement of the 5-year plan.

.8

11. Plaintiff disputed this result in letters to defendant PHEAA dba AES dated July 23, 2007, August 27, 2007, and September 14, 2007.

- 12. On September 27, 2007, Plaintiff received a letter from defendant ECMC, advising that it considered the Student Loans with interest still owing during the period of the 5-year plan.
- 13. On October 17, 2007, Plaintiff received a letter from "PHEAA powered by AES," stating that student loan debts are non-dischargeable without an adversary proceeding instituted by the debtor. The letter warned, "Inasmuch as interest continues to accrue on the outstanding principal, it is in your best interest, to make payments in accordance with the terms of the promissory note(s)."
- 14. To avoid assessment of interest, late penalties and creditor harassment, Plaintiff in October of 2007 began making monthly payments on these loans in the amount of \$971.01, as demanded in monthly letters from PHEAA dba AES.
- 15. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Plaintiff and defendants regarding their respective rights and duties in connection with the Student Loans. Plaintiff contends (1) the failure of defendants or their predecessors to object to the plan at its confirmation hearing after notice; (2) the acceptance of defendants or their predecessors of monthly payments over five years without reserving rights; and (3) the failure of defendants or their predecessors to object to the termination of the plan constituted an acceptance of, and a waiver of any objections to, the plan's terms and conditions, including no accrual of interest during the pendency of the plan and / or forgiveness of any outstanding principal after completion of the plan.
 - 16. A judicial determination is now necessary in order to determine whether Plaintiff

 -5- (Complaint)

made since October of 2007.

· 1

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

owes any money to defendants and whether Plaintiff is entitled to reimbursement of loan payments

FOR REIMBURSEMENT

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each of the above allegations.
- 18. The failure of defendants to object to the plan at its confirmation hearing after notice; the acceptance of defendants of monthly payments over five years without reserving rights; and the failure of defendants or their predecessors to object to the termination of plaintiff's Chapter 13 plan constituted an acceptance of, and a waiver of any objections to, the plan's terms and conditions, including no accrual of interest on the Student Loans during the pendency of the plan and forgiveness of any outstanding principal after completion of the plan.
- Defendants have received and have been unjustly enriched by Plaintiff's monthly 19. payments on the Student Loans in the amount of \$971.01 since October of 2007.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks judgment in his favor and against defendants:

- Pursuant to the first cause of action, for a declaration that the order of discharge 1. dated May 24, 2007 in Plaintiff's Chapter 13 bankruptcy in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 01-12461-H13, applies to the Student Loans, extinguishing, forgiving and forever discharging the Student Loans and that, therefore, Plaintiff is no longer obligated to pay any money to defendants arising from the Student Loans.
- Alternatively, pursuant to the first cause of action, for a declaration that, during the 2. pendency of the 5-year plan in Plaintiff's Chapter 13 bankruptcy in the United States District

Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 01-12461-H13, interest on the Student Loans' principal was stayed and should not have accrued and that, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to a credit against the principal claimed at the commencement of the 5-year plan of \$74,454.03 in the amount of the \$20,847.14 paid to defendants during the plan.

- 3. Pursuant to the second cause of action, for reimbursement, with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, of the monthly payments Plaintiff has made on the aforementioned student loan debts since October of 2007 in the amount of \$971.01;
- 4. Alternatively, pursuant to the second cause of action, for reimbursement and reallocation toward principle of the portion of the payments Plaintiff has made on the aforementioned student loan debts since October of 2007 in the amount of \$971.01 misapplied toward interest;
 - 5. For costs of suit; and
 - 6. For all other relief as the Court deems proper.

DATED: March 9, 2008

JEFFREY A. NEEDELMAN



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

148583 - SH
* * C O P Y * *
 March 10, 2008
 13:52:18

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV0442

Judge..: M. JAMES LORENZ

Amount.:

\$350.00 CC

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: NEEDELMAN V. PHEAA ET AL

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

THE CIVIL GOENET SHEET: (SEE IIV	STROCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	7	DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS OR MAR 10 PM 1:46				
Jeffrey A. Needelman		PHEAA dba AES	PHEAA dba AES; Key Bank, N.A.; ECMC				
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff San Francisco	County of Residence of	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
. (E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (
		NOTE: IN LAN	D CONDEMNATION CAPES, US INVOLVED	-th die die			
(a) Assert Leaft 1		Attorneys (If no n)	CV U442 1	. RBB			
	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (II Rhown)	-	•			
P.O. Box 4/1146; San Fra	ancisco, California 94147-1146						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)			
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	P	TF DEF 1 Incorporated or Prior of Business In This	PTF DEF incipal Place			
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	1 2	Principal Place 🛛 5 🗍 5			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	Another State			
		Citizen or Subject of a 🗾 Foreign Country	J 3 G 3 Foreign Nation	06 06			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment			
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust			
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury -		28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce			
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation			
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	d 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations			
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	660 Occupational	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit			
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER'			490 Cable/Sat TV			
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/			
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange			
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410			
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgint Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	■ 890 Other Statutory Actions			
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act			
■ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacate		FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	3 893 Environmental Matters			
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations Habeas Corpus: 530 General	Security Act	26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information Act			
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare		20 030 7007	900Appeal of Fee Determination			
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Oth	ner ·		Under Equal Access to Justice			
•	Employment			950 Constitutionality of			
	Other			State Statutes			
	440 Other Civil Rights						
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding Original Original Proceeding Original Original Proceeding Original Origin							
***************************************	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you at I I U.S.C.A. \$8 502(b)(2), 523(a)(8	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictions), 1328(a)(2)					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause: Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff Owe			mpletion of Ch. 13, plan			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:			
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE Hargrove		DOCKET NUMBER 01	-12461-H13			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
03/09/200							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # 148583 MOUNT #355 APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE							
UN 2/10/08							